

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA : CRIMINAL NO. \_\_\_\_\_**

**v. : DATE FILED: \_\_\_\_\_**

**NANCY MAZZUCA : VIOLATION: 18 U.S.C. § 1623 (Perjury - 5 Counts)**

**INDICTMENT**

**COUNT ONE**

**THE GRAND JURY CHARGES THAT:**

1. On or about February 23, 1999, defendant NANCY MAZZUCA testified under oath before the grand jury of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.

2. It was a matter material to the grand jury to determine whether individuals employed at the Philadelphia International Airport (the "Airport") parking facilities had participated in, or had knowledge of, a scheme to embezzle money from the Airport parking facilities and to defraud the United States of the federal income tax due on the embezzled money.

3. On or about February 23, 1999, at Philadelphia, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, defendant

**NANCY MAZZUCA,**

while under oath in a proceeding before the grand jury of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, knowingly made a false declaration in response to a question with respect to the material matter as described in paragraph two of this Count as follows:

Q. Now, during that time frame that you were at work there from '88 to '94,  
at what point in time did you hear anything about the scheme or the

stealing of money?

A. In '94 when I was let go when I read it in the newspaper.

Q. Prior to that you knew nothing about anybody stealing money?

A. Prior to that I didn't know anything about anybody stealing any money.

4. The underlined testimony of defendant NANCY MAZZUCA, as set forth in paragraph three of this Count, as MAZZUCA then well knew and believed, was false, in that MAZZUCA knew that she stole money and that others working with her stole money from the Airport parking facilities.

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1623.

**COUNT TWO**

**THE GRAND JURY FURTHER CHARGES THAT:**

1. Paragraphs 1 and 2 of Count One are incorporated here.
2. On or about February 23, 1999, at Philadelphia, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, defendant

**NANCY MAZZUCA,**

while under oath in a proceeding before the grand jury of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, knowingly made a false declaration in response to a question with respect to the material matter as described in paragraph two of Count One as follows:

- Q. Now, Gricco never told you that there was money being stolen from the operation. Is that correct? Is that what you are saying?
- A. No, he never did.
- Q. He never told you that?
- A. No.
- Q. Other than your pay did you ever receive any additional cash?
- A. I received additional money if I did a hot shot for somebody. I would get a tip from a customer for helping them jump start their car. Or if they had problems with their tires and it needed to be changed, they would give me money sometimes for helping them out. Other than that, no, I would just receive my pay.

3. The underlined testimony of defendant NANCY MAZZUCA, as set forth in paragraph two of this Count, as MAZZUCA then well knew and believed, was false, in that

MAZZUCA knew that she and others stole money from the Airport parking facilities and that she received a share of the theft proceeds.

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1623.

**COUNT THREE**

**THE GRAND JURY FURTHER CHARGES THAT:**

1. Paragraphs 1 and 2 of Count One are incorporated here.
2. On or about February 23, 1999, at Philadelphia, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, defendant

**NANCY MAZZUCA,**

while under oath in a proceeding before the grand jury of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, knowingly made a false declaration in response to a question with respect to the material matter as described in paragraph two of Count One as follows:

Q. Did you ever give to any of the cashiers at any location their share or their portion of money that had been stolen from the parking garage operation?

A. No.

3. The underlined testimony of defendant NANCY MAZZUCA, as set forth in paragraph two of this Count, as MAZZUCA then well knew and believed, was false, in that MAZZUCA knew that she had given the cashiers their share of the money that had been stolen from the Airport parking facilities.

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1623.

**COUNT FOUR**

**THE GRAND JURY FURTHER CHARGES THAT:**

1. Paragraphs 1 and 2 of Count One are incorporated here.
2. On or about February 23, 1999, at Philadelphia, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, defendant

**NANCY MAZZUCA,**

while under oath in a proceeding before the grand jury of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, knowingly made a false declaration in response to a question with respect to the material matter as described in paragraph two of Count One as follows:

Q. Did you ever give to any cashier anywhere, whether it's at the cashier's booth, in the office or anywhere else, counterfeit/replacement tickets?

A. No, I did not.

3. The underlined testimony of defendant NANCY MAZZUCA, as set forth in paragraph two of this Count, as MAZZUCA then well knew and believed, was false, in that MAZZUCA knew that she had given the cashiers counterfeit replacement tickets to steal money from the Airport parking facilities.

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1623.

**COUNT FIVE**

**THE GRAND JURY FURTHER CHARGES THAT:**

1. Paragraphs 1 and 2 of Count One are incorporated here.
2. On or about February 23, 1999, at Philadelphia, in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, defendant

**NANCY MAZZUCA,**

while under oath in a proceeding before the grand jury of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, knowingly made a false declaration in response to a question with respect to the material matter as described in paragraph two of Count One as follows:

Q. Did you ever at any time at any location receive from any cashier any money that had been stolen from the parking garage operation?

A. No.

3. The underlined testimony of defendant NANCY MAZZUCA, as set forth in paragraph two of this Count, as MAZZUCA then well knew and believed, was false, in that MAZZUCA knew that she had received from the cashiers money they had stolen from the Airport parking facilities.

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1623.

**A TRUE BILL:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**FOREPERSON**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**PATRICK L. MEEHAN**  
**United States Attorney**